

**ANNAMACHARYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY SCIENCES:: RAJAMPET**  
(An Autonomous Institution)

Department of Computer Applications

Meeting Minutes of Alumni Feedback

Date: 04-12-2017

Venue: MCA Department

**Members Present:**

- |                            |                   |
|----------------------------|-------------------|
| 1. Dr.C.Madana Kumar Reddy | Assoc. Prof & HOD |
| 2. Mr. V.Sathyendra Kumar  | Asst. Professor   |
| 3. Mr. C.Sasidhar          | Asst. Professor   |
| 4. MrsP.Kavitha            | Asst. Professor   |
| 5. Mrs. P.Swathi           | Asst. Professor   |
| 6. Mr. N. Narasimha Prasad | Asst. Professor   |

**Members Absent:** Nil

Following are the points discussed in the meeting.

Item 1: Rating the outcomes in relation to the course content

Action Taken: Outcomes are considered in the course content

Item 2: How the education is helping the students in their profession

Action Taken: Taken care of the professional activities in education

*C. Madhavi*  
HOD

**YEAR 2014 - 2015**  
**(ALUMNI FEEDBACK ANALYSIS)**

1. How do you rate relevance of the courses in relation to the program?

	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
1. EXCELLENT	10	55.56
2. VERY GOOD	8	44.44
3. GOOD	0	0.00
4. AVERAGE	0	0.00
5. POOR	0	0.00
TOTAL	18	100.00

2. How do you rate the sequence of the courses included into the programs?

	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
1. EXCELLENT	10	55.56
2. VERY GOOD	8	44.44
3. GOOD	0	0.00
4. AVERAGE	0	0.00
5. POOR	0	0.00
TOTAL	18	100.00

3. How do you rate the outcomes in relation to the course content?

	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
1. EXCELLENT	8	44.44
2. VERY GOOD	10	55.56
3. GOOD	0	0.00
4. AVERAGE	0	0.00
5. POOR	0	0.00
TOTAL	18	100.00

4. Rate the offering of the in relation to the specialization streams?

	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
1. EXCELLENT	8	44.44
2. VERY GOOD	8	44.44
3. GOOD	2	11.11
4. AVERAGE	0	0.00
5. POOR	0	0.00
TOTAL	18	100.00

5. How do you rate the offering of the electives in relation to the technological advancement?

	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
1. EXCELLENT	15	83.33
2. VERY GOOD	3	16.67
3. GOOD	0	0.00
4. AVERAGE	0	0.00
5. POOR	0	0.00
TOTAL	18	100.00

6. How do you rate the courses which are skills related suiting to the industry included

	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
1. EXCELLENT	16	88.89
2. VERY GOOD	2	11.11
3. GOOD	0	0.00
4. AVERAGE	0	0.00
5. POOR	0	0.00
TOTAL	18	100.00

7. How do you rate the domain used for designing the experiments in terms of the

	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
1. EXCELLENT	17	94.44
2. VERY GOOD	1	5.56
3. GOOD	0	0.00
4. AVERAGE	0	0.00
5. POOR	0	0.00
TOTAL	18	100.00

8. How do you rate the experiments in terms of their relevance to the real life

	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
1. EXCELLENT	3	16.67
2. VERY GOOD	15	83.33
3. GOOD	0	0.00
4. AVERAGE	0	0.00
5. POOR	0	0.00
TOTAL	18	100.00

9. How do you rate the courses that you have learnt in relation to your current job

	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
1. EXCELLENT	10	55.56
2. VERY GOOD	8	44.44
3. GOOD	0	0.00
4. AVERAGE	0	0.00
5. POOR	0	0.00
TOTAL	18	100.00

10. How well did your education prepare you for your profession

	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
1. EXCELLENT	0	0.00
2. VERY GOOD	0	0.00
3. GOOD	18	100.00
4. AVERAGE	0	0.00
5. POOR	0	0.00
TOTAL	18	100.00

**ANNAMACHARYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY SCIENCES:: RAJAMPET**  
(An Autonomous Institution)

Department of Computer Applications  
Meeting Minutes of Alumni Feedback

Date: 04-11-2016

Venue: MCA Department

**Members Present:**

- |                            |                   |
|----------------------------|-------------------|
| 1. Dr.C.Madana Kumar Reddy | Assoc. Prof & HOD |
| 2. Mr. V.Sathyendra Kumar  | Asst. Professor   |
| 3. Mr. C.Sasidhar          | Asst. Professor   |
| 4. MrsP.Kavitha            | Asst. Professor   |
| 5. Mrs. P.Swathi           | Asst. Professor   |
| 6. Mr. N. Narasimha Prasad | Asst. Professor   |

**Members Absent:** Nil

Following are the points discussed in the meeting.

Item 1: Rating the sequence of the courses included into the program

Action Taken: Taken care of the courses included into the program

Item 2: Rating the experiments in terms of their relevance to the real life

Action Taken: Real life relevance experiments are introduced

Item 3: Rating the relevance of the courses in relation to the program

Action Taken: Programming subjects introduced in the curriculum.

*C. Mk Reddy*  
HOD

**YEAR 2013 - 2016**  
**(ALUMNI FEEDBACK ANALYSIS)**

1. How do you rate relevance of the courses in relation to the program?

	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
1. EXCELLENT	10	31.25
2. VERY GOOD	10	31.25
3. GOOD	10	31.25
4. AVERAGE	2	6.25
5. POOR	0	0.00
TOTAL	32	100.00

2. How do you rate the sequence of the courses included into the programs?

	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
1. EXCELLENT	12	37.50
2. VERY GOOD	8	25.00
3. GOOD	10	31.25
4. AVERAGE	1	3.13
5. POOR	1	3.13
TOTAL	32	100.00

3. How do you rate the outcomes in relation to the course content?

	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
1. EXCELLENT	12	37.50
2. VERY GOOD	10	31.25
3. GOOD	10	31.25
4. AVERAGE	0	0.00
5. POOR	0	0.00
TOTAL	32	100.00

4. Rate the offering of the in relation to the specialization streams?

	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
1. EXCELLENT	15	46.88
2. VERY GOOD	15	46.88
3. GOOD	2	6.25
4. AVERAGE	0	0.00
5. POOR	0	0.00
TOTAL	32	100.00

5. How do you rate the offering of the electives in relation to the technological advancement?

	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
1. EXCELLENT	10	31.25
2. VERY GOOD	10	31.25
3. GOOD	12	37.50
4. AVERAGE	0	0.00
5. POOR	0	0.00
TOTAL	32	100.00

6. How do you rate the courses which are skills related suiting to the industry included

	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
1. EXCELLENT	14	43.75
2. VERY GOOD	13	40.63
3. GOOD	5	15.63
4. AVERAGE	0	0.00
5. POOR	0	0.00
TOTAL	32	100.00

7. How do you rate the domain used for designing the experiments in terms of the

	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
1. EXCELLENT	20	62.50
2. VERY GOOD	12	37.50
3. GOOD	0	0.00
4. AVERAGE	0	0.00
5. POOR	0	0.00
TOTAL	32	100.00

8. How do you rate the experiments in terms of their relevance to the real life

	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
1. EXCELLENT	10	31.25
2. VERY GOOD	15	46.88
3. GOOD	5	15.63
4. AVERAGE	2	6.25
5. POOR	0	0.00
TOTAL	32	100.00

9. How do you rate the courses that you have learnt in relation to your current job

	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
1. EXCELLENT	20	62.50
2. VERY GOOD	10	31.25
3. GOOD	2	6.25
4. AVERAGE	0	0.00
5. POOR	0	0.00
TOTAL	32	100.00

10. How well did your education prepare you for your profession

	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
1. EXCELLENT	9	28.13
2. VERY GOOD	11	34.38
3. GOOD	10	31.25
4. AVERAGE	2	6.25
5. POOR	0	0.00
TOTAL	32	100.00

**ANNAMACHARYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY SCIENCES:: RAJAMPET**  
(An Autonomous Institution)

Department of Computer Applications

Meeting Minutes of Alumni Feedback

Date: 11-07-2015

Venue: MCA Department

**Members Present:**

- |                            |                   |
|----------------------------|-------------------|
| 1. Dr.C.Madana Kumar Reddy | Assoc. Prof & HOD |
| 2. Mr. V.Sathyendra Kumar  | Asst. Professor   |
| 3. Mr. C.Sasidhar          | Asst. Professor   |
| 4. MrsP.Kavitha            | Asst. Professor   |
| 5. Mrs. P.Swathi           | Asst. Professor   |
| 6. Mr. N. Narasimha Prasad | Asst. Professor   |

**Members Absent: Nil**

Following are the points discussed in the meeting.

Item 1: Rating the experiments in terms of their relevance to the real life application

Action taken: Made the modifications in the experiments

Item 2: How do you rate the offering of the electives in relation to the technological advancements?.

Action taken: Advanced technological subjects are included in the curriculum.

*C. M. Reddy*  
HOD

**YEAR 2012 - 2015**  
**(ALUMNI FEEDBACK ANALYSIS)**

1. How do you rate relevance of the courses in relation to the program?

	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
1. EXCELLENT	6	33.33
2. VERY GOOD	6	33.33
3. GOOD	5	27.78
4. AVERAGE	1	5.56
5. POOR	0	0.00
TOTAL	18	100.00

2. How do you rate the sequence of the courses included into the programs?

	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
1. EXCELLENT	7	38.89
2. VERY GOOD	5	27.78
3. GOOD	6	33.33
4. AVERAGE	0	0.00
5. POOR	0	0.00
TOTAL	18	100.00

3. How do you rate the outcomes in relation to the course content?

	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
1. EXCELLENT	7	38.89
2. VERY GOOD	6	33.33
3. GOOD	5	27.78
4. AVERAGE	0	0.00
5. POOR	0	0.00
TOTAL	18	100.00

4. Rate the offering of the in relation to the specialization streams?

	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
1. EXCELLENT	8	44.44
2. VERY GOOD	5	27.78
3. GOOD	5	27.78
4. AVERAGE	0	0.00
5. POOR	0	0.00
TOTAL	18	100.00

5. How do you rate the offering of the electives in relation to the technological advancement?

	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
1. EXCELLENT	10	55.56
2. VERY GOOD	4	22.22
3. GOOD	4	22.22
4. AVERAGE	0	0.00
5. POOR	0	0.00
TOTAL	18	100.00



6. How do you rate the courses which are skills related suiting to the industry included

	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
1. EXCELLENT	9	50.00
2. VERY GOOD	5	27.78
3. GOOD	4	22.22
4. AVERAGE	0	0.00
5. POOR	0	0.00
TOTAL	18	100.00

7. How do you rate the domain used for designing the experiments in terms of the

	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
1. EXCELLENT	11	61.11
2. VERY GOOD	5	27.78
3. GOOD	2	11.11
4. AVERAGE	0	0.00
5. POOR	0	0.00
TOTAL	18	100.00

8. How do you rate the experiments in terms of their relevance to the real life

	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
1. EXCELLENT	0	0.00
2. VERY GOOD	1	5.56
3. GOOD	17	94.44
4. AVERAGE	0	0.00
5. POOR	0	0.00
TOTAL	18	100.00

9. How do you rate the courses that you have learnt in relation to your current job

	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
1. EXCELLENT	1	5.56
2. VERY GOOD	1	5.56
3. GOOD	16	88.89
4. AVERAGE	0	0.00
5. POOR	0	0.00
TOTAL	18	100.00

10. How well did your education prepare you for your profession

	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
1. EXCELLENT	1	5.56
2. VERY GOOD	2	11.11
3. GOOD	15	83.33
4. AVERAGE	0	0.00
5. POOR	0	0.00
TOTAL	18	100.00